Q&A'S FROM FEBRUARY 2021 ALT INDUSTRY

Q1: In light of the OSD office of the CIO discussion on interfaces this week, will MOSA or CMOA result in more sponsored systems of systems and competition through capstone requirements?

A1: The ASA(ALT) Office of the Chief Systems Engineer (OCSE) team was not privy to the OSD office of the CIO discussion on interfaces. It is recommended that the author of this question please provide some context of those discussions to willie.j.lacy.civ@mail.mil so that the OCSE office can follow up appropriately.

Q2: For PM PNT – Please identify the Mounted A-PNT System (MAPS) Prime(s) downselected through the C5 OTA.

A2: Collins Aerospace.

Q3: What is the relationship between VICTORY, CMOSS, and CMOA?

A3: VICTORY and CMOSS are types of standards that need to be followed to be CMOA compliant.

Q4: Is that NAMC or DATC? Both operate in the Warren community.

A4: NAMC based in Ann Arbor, MI

Q5: How does one become involved in the SME activity [for CMOA]?

A5: Please reach out to the action officer for this effort at ASA(ALT). Send emails to willie.j.lacy.civ@mail.mil

Q6: Is the Army considering interoperability for CMOA with other OA standards for the other services such as UCI for the USAF? Data sharing during joint missions and exercises would be a key need for CMOA.

A6: Initially, ASA(ALT) is only looking at interoperability within the Army. However, expanding it to other services could have significant benefits.

Q7: Has a preferred contracting method been determined for CMOA actions?

A7: ASA(ALT) is still working on this and will be ongoing until the next RFP for OMFV which is our pilot program. More to come on this.

Q8: For CMOA-is there a funding allotted for PMs under IEW&S to engage fully in this concept?

A8: The ASA(ALT) OCSE does not provide funding, as this is a concept they are developing as a good way of doing innovative, systems engineering in support of Army Acquisition (via RFPs) for program managers.

Q9: How does one become involved in providing input to the [CMOA] process?

A9: Please contact Willie Lacy at willie.j.lacy.civ@mail.mil to become involved.

Q10: For PdM MDSS – Have Phase 1 Demonstration contracts been awarded through the C5 OTA? If so, who are the Primes.

A10: The OTA awards are currently in the SOW collaboration phase, with award(s) expected in late 3QFY21.

Q11: I assume CMOSS is viewed the CMOA approach for C4SISR capabilities.

A11: Yes, that is correct.

Q12: For the BEC-1 Opportunity, will there be a draft RFP released and if so, when is it expected? Also will there be an industry day?

A12: BEC 1 anticipates issuing an RFI with a PWS to the RS3 prime vendors in late March 2021. There is no plan for a draft RFP or an industry day at this time for this procurement.

Q13: For PM Biometrics – With the pace of innovation in AI, how do you anticipate ensuring that solutions provided under BEC will leverage the latest Biometric AI algorithms and frameworks over the lifecycle of the contract?

A13: BEC 1 follows a Base-Case-Enhanced (build upon current system) and IT Box strategy across three capability drops where AI/ML is considered as a future capability under this procurement.

Q14: What is the status of EWPMT INC 2?

A14: There is a very good reason for the Inc2 slip. It comes down to Army Modernization Priorities. Since the RFI (which covered CD4 and Initial Inc2), the Army reversed course with regards to Inc2 and pushed it to the right. Currently there is no requirement or funding in the POM for EWPMT Inc2 as EWPMT Inc1 was deemed to have the requisite

capabilities to support MDO. Priorities shifted to TLS-BCT, TLS-EAB, MDSS, TITAN to name a few Latest guidance from G8 FDI is that Inc2 will happen 5 years after initial fielding which would make it an FY27/28 start which is why there is little or no information on Inc2. To bridge the gap, PdM EWI is preparing to award a Product Improvement/Relevancy, 5 year IDIQ contract in the FY23 timeframe.

COL Finch and Willie Utroska will address this and provide an updated schedule during our 1 hour break out session at the APBI when EW&C present industry with the EW&C FY 22 Strategic Guidance.

Finally, Raven Claw (RC) no longer exists. PdM EWI successfully converged all RC capabilities into the PoR baseline for EWPMT CD3 and refreshed all units in the USAREUR ONS and successfully equipped units in the USARPAC AOR via the CEMA ONS. PdM EWI had extensive Soldier TouchPoints (STP's) and feedback from the ONS that enabled them to not only converge RC into CD3 but to completely redesign the GUI via HTML5 technology and a Micro-Services architecture/framework to enable integration. That was the essence of providing industry with the EWPMT SDK for the last 2 1/2 years.

Priority for EWPMT for FY21/22 is to successfully complete CD4 (Inc1) development, DT, and IOTE in order to receive a favorable Full Deployment Decision (FDD) and subsequent Full Materiel Release (FMR) to start fielding in FY23.

Q15: Is the value provided ($90M – $110M) representative of all 4 Intel Apps contracts as briefed at the industry day? Or per contract?

A15: A: $90 – $110M represents the anticipated value of the first four Intel Apps in terms of development, integration, testing, procurement and initial sustainment. Depending on the scope of potential future DEVSECOPS work associated with each app, and the length of sustainment included for each app,

the dollar value may fluctuate, but $90 – 110M represents the initial expected cost for the first four apps.

Q16: Are Intel Apps Industry Day slides available anywhere online or requestable thru email?

A16: The materials will be provided to those who registered for the Intel Apps Industry Day and have provided a CAGE code. The Intel Apps Industry Day slides are Controlled Unclassified Information (CUI) and for those that were not registered, can be requested through our group mailbox, usarmy.apg.peo-iews.mbx.pm-isa-intel-apps-vendorresponses@mail.mil. Please include your CAGE code as the material can only be sent to organizations that can receive CUI.

Q17: Is PM IS&A considering using an OTA for the Intel Apps procurement(s)?

A17: All contract types are still being considered for Intel Apps. It is anticipated that some of the Intel Apps will be obtained through FAR-based contracts, but others may be most appropriate through use of an OTA. This will continue to be refined through our dialog with industry on the state of available solutions to the Intel Apps requirements and will be discussed as part of future Industry Day events as we refine and finalize the contracting strategy.

Q18: Outside of MAPS and DAPS, particularly in line with APNT, when can we expect the PM to communicate plans for procuring APNT solutions?

A18: MAPS and DAPS are the only Ground Domain APNT systems planned for procurement. The PdM PNT Modernization is leveraging the Open Innovation Lab (OIL) to identify and assess potential APNT modernization solutions.

Q19: RFI link for PD SAI JADO sensors please?

A19: https://beta.sam.gov/opp/182db242056e-44b5a0a9a20fc6b92017/view

Q20: Can PD SAI explain the Gray Eagle payload RFI vs RFIs being released by PM UAS?

A20: The government recognizes the confusion that multiple RFI's can cause. For that reason PD SAI worked in collaboration with PM UAS to develop this JADO RFI jointly.

Q21: What percent of the value of Common C2 effort is FFP vice CPFF?

A21: The breakdown between FFP & CPFF will vary for each offeror. As the breakdown will be dependent upon the individual offeror's technical and management approach.

The Government will provide all information to assist each offeror to assist them in the development of their proposal.

Q22: There has been some discussion regarding buying a CMOSS system that encompasses communications, EW, SIGINT, and PNT capability – can you talk about that? Would like to understand scope, timing, and objective.

A22: The Army signed a new requirement (Abbreviated Capability Development Document) this January that converges multiple technologies (PNT, EW and Comms) into a single chassis. The convergence of these capabilities will be based off of the CMOSS standards as part of the SOSA Consortium. This is a cross cutting integration that involves multiple PMs and PEOs. Initial efforts include prototyping of capabilities into a CMOSS compliant card and then integrating into a single chassis and platform.

FY21 culminates with a proof of concept demo with additional capabilities, prototypes and demonstrations planned for FY22 and beyond.

Q23: For PM FPS, which contract vehicle will this go out on? Oasis?

A23: For PM-FPS Common C2, the plan is to use RS3.

Q24: Question for PM EW&C – what sort of action or effort is anticipated following the ongoing MFEW Air-Large OTA effort?

A24: Currently the next planned action is to move on to the Production FAR based contract based on successful testing of the prototype.

Q25: Has a contract vehicle been determined for the PM ASE SETA Support follow-on contract?

A25: PM ASE is finalizing contract strategy for follow-on SETA Support (i.e. contracting vehicle, scope, and contract type). Current SETA support contracts expire in 2023, and we anticipate RFIs and RFPs to be released in 2nd/3rd QTR FY22.

Q26: Will any of these contracts have a requirement to having non-profit or nontraditional companies?

A26: Market research will determine the contract path forward for any PEO IEW&S requirements.

Q27: What is the coordination process between the G-2 ISR Task Force and PM SAI as it pertains to HADES?

A27: Tied to G2 ISR Task Force.

Q28: Has EW&C nailed down a date for Industry day?

A28: If this is in regards to TLS-EAB, a date has not been finalized at this time. The team is working through the requirements, and will submit more information through beta.sam when the details are known. PM EW&C will be doing a FY22 Strategic Guidance Breakout session during this year's APBI. Dates are times are still being finalized.

Q29: For PdM TS, PM FPS – any plan for competition of the Integrated Commercial Intrusion Detections System (ICIDS) VI?

A29: PM-TS, FPS is reviewing feedback from the RFI that was released in DEC 2020. The plan is to release an RFP in 4QFY21.

Q30: Any update on GBOSS-E?

A30: PM is conducting market research and will determine appropriate path forward.

Q31: How do you want us small startup business to provide you feedback on consortiums and OTAs?

A31: ALT ID surveys will be posted on our website in the near future. We welcome your responses on this question and others as part of our continuing discussions with our industry partners.

Q32: What is the correlation between the MDSS HADES OTA sensors and the COCO platforms being supported by the GS ISR TF?

A32: While the 2 efforts are not directly related at this time, they all contribute to the MDSS HADES Campaign of Learning and may merge at some future point.

Q33: Please address how, when and for what the PEO uses OTAs and if a company must be part of a consortium to be notified and submit?

A33: The how is determined at the requiring activities PM office level of the acquisition strategy what is the best path or fit for the program. We use the C5 OTA when accomplishing Prototyping efforts. If using a Consortium based OTA like C5 the vendor must be a member prior to the request for White Papers (RWP) from the government to be eligible to be notified of the Statement of Need (SON) as well as be able to submit a White Paper for the effort.

Q34: Can we have PdM EWI brief Industry on his programs and the future of EWPMT?

A34: PM will address this and provide an updated schedule during our 1 hour break out session at the APBI when they present industry with the EW&C FY 22 Strategic Guidance. Priority for EWPMT for FY21/22 is to successfully complete CD4 (Inc1) development, DT, and IOTE in order to receive a favorable Full Deployment Decision (FDD) and subse-

quent Full Materiel Release (FMR) to start fielding in FY23.Q16: How does a small biz get access and interact with Project Linchpin? ongoing basis?